

S E D A P

A PROGRAM FOR RESEARCH ON

SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC DIMENSIONS OF AN AGING POPULATION

The Evolution of Retirement as Systematic Ageism

Lynn McDonald

SEDAP Research Paper No. 292

For further information about SEDAP and other papers in this series, see our web site:
<http://socserv.mcmaster.ca/sedap>

Requests for further information may be addressed to:
Secretary, SEDAP Research Program
Kenneth Taylor Hall, Room 426
McMaster University
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, L8S 4M4
FAX: 905 521 8232 e-mail: sedap@mcmaster.ca

The Evolution of Retirement as Systematic Ageism

Lynn McDonald

SEDAP Research Paper No. 292

March 2012

The Program for Research on Social and Economic Dimensions of an Aging Population (SEDAP) is an interdisciplinary research program centred at McMaster University with co-investigators at seventeen other universities in Canada and abroad. The SEDAP Research Paper series provides a vehicle for distributing the results of studies undertaken by those associated with the program. Authors take full responsibility for all expressions of opinion. SEDAP has been supported by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council since 1999, under the terms of its Major Collaborative Research Initiatives Program. Additional financial or other support is provided by the Canadian Institute for Health Information, the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, Citizenship and Immigration Canada, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, ICES: Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences, IZA: Forschungsinstitut zur Zukunft der Arbeit GmbH (Institute for the Study of Labour), SFI: The Danish National Institute of Social Research, Social Development Canada, Statistics Canada, and participating universities in Canada (McMaster, Calgary, Carleton, Memorial, Montréal, New Brunswick, Queen's, Regina, Toronto, UBC, Victoria, Waterloo, Western, and York) and abroad (Copenhagen, New South Wales, University College London).

The Evolution of Retirement as Systematic Ageism

Lynn McDonald

Questions that people ask, when they hear that mandatory retirement has been repealing include: will people be forced to toil longer to stay financially healthy? Will they change careers later in life to keep their interest in a subject or explore new interests? How will working longer affect their health? How will much older people affect the ambitions and working styles of younger colleagues? Will companies have to change their health and benefit plans to accommodate older people? This chapter discusses implications for both individuals and companies about hiring/retaining workers beyond the mandatory retirement age including differences in power relationships that place older workers who love and want to stay in their job in a compromised position. Issues related to international political economy will be addressed.

Un certain nombre de questions reviennent souvent, quand les gens entendent que le départ à la retraite obligatoire a été supprimé: Vont-ils être obligés de travailler plus longtemps pour s'assurer de rester en bonne santé financière? Vont-ils devoir changer de carrière plus tard pour maintenir leur intérêt dans leur domaine professionnel ou devront-ils explorer de nouveaux intérêts? Comment travailler plus longtemps affectera leur santé? Quelles incidences auront les travailleurs beaucoup plus âgés sur les ambitions et le style de travail de leurs collègues plus jeunes? Est-ce que les entreprises devront modifier leur plan de santé et régimes de retraite pour accueillir les personnes âgées? Ce chapitre examine les implications au niveau des travailleurs et des entreprises sur la politique d'embauche / rétention des travailleurs au-delà de l'âge de la retraite obligatoire, y compris les différences en terme de position de pouvoir qui placent les travailleurs âgés qui aiment et veulent maintenir leur emploi dans une position de compromis. Des questions liées à l'économie politique mondiale seront aussi abordées.

Keywords: Cultural economics, economic sociology, economic anthropology

JEL Classification: Z10

Introduction

Researcher Alan Walker stated that “...retirement is both the leading form of age discrimination and the driving force behind the wider development of ageism in modern societies...retirement maybe seen as an age discriminatory social process designed to exclude older people *en masse* from the workforce’ (Walker, 1990, p. 59). A number of scholars echo his sentiments (Bytheway, 1995; Friedman, 2003; Longino, 2005; Palmore, 2005; Townsend, 2006) while others see retirement as ‘justified’ ageism or at least question whether retirement is a form of ageism that gave birth to general ageist ideas in developed countries (Grattan, 2002; Macnicol, 2006, 2010). In this chapter it is argued that retirement is an institutional form of ageism – both negative and positive - depending on the era in question, beginning with the inception of retirement in the 20th century through to retirement today. The approach taken uses the political economy framework that retirement is driven by changes in the economy and supported by the enlightened self-interest of various political and economic groups (McDonald & Wanner, 1990). The chapter will draw on the North American experience, specifically Canada, to illustrate the role of the economy in determining the changing meaning of retirement as it responds to the requirements of the market, supported by stereotypes of older adults that are attached to the various market changes over time. Unlike other accounts of retirement and ageism, this account accepts that the evolution of capitalist economies has both *incorporated and marginalized* older workers over time via pension and retirement policies (Binstock, 2010), but does not accept economic orthodoxy ‘that the invisible hand of the market transmutes individual acts of selfishness into socially desirable collective outcomes’ (Cassidy, 2009, p. 8). The history of retirement, considered here as the handmaiden of the ever-changing economy (Halliwell, 2009; Phillipson & Smith, 2005; Walker, 2006), is littered with examples of undesirable outcomes for older adults based on systematic ageism.

Setting the Parameters

Retirement, as a social institution, emerged in modern industrialized societies at the beginning of the 20th century. Scholarship into retirement paralleled its development and began in earnest in the late 1940s and early 1950s. However, because of the rapid evolution of retirement (McDonald, 2011), there is some uncertainty about the relevance of research conducted in earlier times to retirement today (McDonald & Wanner, 1990). Just as social

scientists think they have explained the transition from work to retirement, its causes and consequences, the concept shifts according to different economic conditions, policies and individual preferences that require revised explanations. For example, while retirement may have been initially developed as a reward for loyal older workers to encourage life-long attachment to employers, in a recession, early retirement may be used as a mechanism to discard older workers from the labour force as quickly as possible. These variations on retirement reflect the idea that retirement as an institution was designed to move the older worker out of the labour force in a systematic manner without causing undue financial upset, while solving the societal problem of what to do with an aging labour force (Chappell, McDonald, & Stones, 2008). In other words, to a certain extent, the nature of retirement depends on the size, composition and requirements of the labour market at any given time (McDonald & Wanner, 1990).

In light of this typical definition of retirement, it is difficult to ignore the social construction of retirement as an ageist institution, albeit a useful one to some sectors of society. The progenitor of this definition, Robert Atchley (1980, p. 264) reinterprets his own institutional view of retirement thirteen years later in a more critical light to show how removing workers from the labour force serves the interest of capitalists and is of questionable value to society. He argues that retirement, as an institution, ensures that society has no obligation to provide jobs for older workers who may wish or need to be gainfully employed. In addition, he claims that the institution of retirement reinforces the view that older workers are less productive than younger workers (Atchley, 1993). His more recent views represent those of most anti-ageists (Macnicol, 2006).

Ageism here refers to institutional ageism, the “missions, rules and practices that discriminate against individuals and/or groups because of their older age” (International Longevity Center, 2006, p. 21). It also includes both positive and negative ageism as first developed by Palmore (Palmore, 1990) and later Cole (1992) who labeled both forms, the polarization of ageism. Notably, Erdman B. Palmore defines ageism as “any prejudice or discrimination against or in favor of an age group” (1990, p. 4). In a study of polarized ageism, representations of older adults in a national newspaper in Canada (Rozanova, Northcott, & McDaniel, 2006) were those of ‘successful’ aging often seen as a positive view – “a lifelong process optimizing opportunities for improving and preserving ... independence; quality of life; and enhancing successful life-course transitions” (Peel, McClure, & Bartlett, 2005, p. 298).

Although optimistic, assumptions based on positive ageism may lead to responses that are just as biased as negative ageism and can do as much harm (Binstock, 2010; Townsend, 2006). Thus, those who don't 'age successfully' are likely aging failures, notwithstanding their genetic make-up, accidents and poor health (Roanova et al., 2006).

At the same time, the ageism that retirement embodies is often implicit, meaning the thoughts, feelings and behaviours toward older adults exist without conscious awareness, with the assumption that the ageism is the basis of most interactions with older individuals (Levy, 2001, p. 578). This would include the realm of 'justified' ageism where, the attributions of discrimination are minimized by the account-giver to fit with their own images of fairness (Levy, 2001; McVittie, McKinlay, & Widdicombe, 2003). Many account-givers offer rationalizations for retirement that have little to do with the facts or the older adults they represent. For example, some scholars suggest that older workers are found in declining labour market sectors and therefore have few alternatives but to retire, an idea that appears normal enough at first glance. The problem, however, is that this observation is age based, is a stereotype which implies all older workers cannot be educated or develop new skills, they are all incapable of moving to other industries and retirement is just another name for unemployment (Finnie & Gray, 2011; Pignal, Arrowsmith, & Ness, 2010; Townsend, 1981). Finnie and Gray investigate the sources of income and the labour market choices of long-tenured older workers in the five years following layoff in Canada, and find that 66 percent of those aged 60 to 64, choose to, or are forced to retire due to poor re-employment prospects (2011, p. 19) an actuality supporting retirement stereotypes.

Since retirement is addressed at the institutional level, the theories of 'acquiescent functionalism' of the early gerontologists expressed in certain micro theories like disengagement, continuity and activity theories are inconvenient as they ignore structural forces that affect retirement (Cumming, 1963; W. T. Donahue & Tibbitts, 1957; Parsons, 1942). The same can be said for the human capital arguments that rely on individualistic explanations of retirement based on the acquisition of knowledge and skills (McDonald & Wanner, 1990). The concept, of 'acquiescent functionalism', used by Townsend refers to a body of thought, "that attributed the causes of the problems of old age to the natural consequences of physical decrecence and mental inflexibility, or to individual failures of adjustment to ageing and retirement, instead of

the exertions of state economic and social policy partly to serve and partly to moderate market forces.” (Townsend, 2006, p. 164). Although more a perspective than a theory, political economy suggests that aging is a socially constructed process that is conditioned by one’s location in the social structure and the economic, political and social factors that affect it (Estes, Swan, & Gerard, 1984; Phillipson, 1982).

The policies that demarcate retirement generally include retirement and pension policies. Retirement policy is primarily about the age of eligibility and other requirements that regulate when and how people retire; pension policy is what makes it all possible according to how Canadians design and finance the accumulation of pension benefits. “The two policies are strange bedfellows even though a change in one policy noticeably affects the other. In Canada, retirement policy is reformed largely through the back door of pension policy that tends to be sensitive to market pressures, mainly to remedy whatever the country’s current fiscal imperative is” (McDonald & Donahue, 2011, p. 409). Both sets of these policies usually contain stereotypes of the aged that provide ‘legitimate’ reasons for the ageist aspects of retirement.

This perspective of retirement is not common, particularly in North America where questioning the functioning of market economies is not the norm. The markets are seen to be non-ageist because they are considered to be more efficient than any other system, they are self-correcting and “scientific” (e.g. general equilibrium theory) suggesting that they are value-free (Cassidy, 2009). A close read of many of the justifications for retirement over time are based on the ebb and flow of the market according to the demand for older workers, a process free of systematic ageism because of the ‘natural’ operation of the markets in a capitalist economy.

Age Discrimination in Retirement¹

There are as many histories of retirement as there are developed countries with retirement programs and pensions. All the histories have, however, several commonalities. Retirement is a social construction that took root in modern industrialized societies near the beginning of the 20th century and became a well-established social institution in western societies following World War II (Achenbaum, 1978; Atchley, 1982; Fischer, 1978; Graebner, 1980; Haber, 1978; Haber &

Gratton, 1994; Phillipson, 1999; Snell, 1996). Secondly, retirement has emerged as the last segment of the life course and helps to define 'old age' as a distinct chronological phase apart from education at the beginning of the life course and the middle phase, devoted to work. Retirement, then, is not only the principle gateway to later life but is the conduit that links the institutional structures of work and non-work.

Two historical models are used to explain how retirement came to be, the "...impoverishment model, evident in the history of welfare..." (Haber & Gratton, 1994, p. 88), where the catalyst causing mass retirement of the old was industrialization and the competing model, the "social security model" developed in the United States where the establishment of social security is argued to have led to mass retirement (Haber & Gratton, 1994). A version of these two models appears in Macnicol's (1998, 2008) work in his supply and demand accounts of retirement and their combination where he favours the decline in the demand for older workers in advanced industrial economies, and provides evidence for this process in Britain that started in the 1890s. Doubtless, the history of retirement will be context specific depending on the country in question and most likely is more nuanced beyond an amalgamation of both views. Currently, North America has a propensity for favouring individual behavioural explanations and the roles of policies in corporations (Atchley, 1976; Binstock, 2010; Hardy, Hazelrigg, & Quadagno, 1996; Marshall & Marshall, 2003; McDonald & Wanner, 1990; Quinn, Burkhauser, & Myers, 1990) while Europe leans toward the function of public policies in creating and sustaining retirement (European Commission, 2007; Kohli & Rein, 1991; OECD, 1995; Phillipson, 2004; Platman & Taylor, 2004).

Agrarian Times

Retirement did not exist in most countries prior to industrialization and most people adapted their work to their changing capacities by working until they were no longer capable. Historian Andrejs Pakans (1989), argues that people stepped down from their work in traditional Europe but the process of withdrawal was informal and could be long or short depending on the person's health and/or wealth. In agrarian Canada, a farmer could slowly diminish his workload by delegating the more demanding tasks to his sons or sons-in-law and still maintain control of the process because he owned the land that the children wished to inherit. Because the history of retirement is primarily one of men's retirement, little is known of women although they probably

stepped down in tandem with their husbands. If the male worker was a non-property owner in the employ of others, the tendency was to continue work until physically impossible. At the time, the relations of labour were characterized as paternalistic, a more personal type of relationship between employer and employee which preceded the more impersonal relationships of industrial capitalism (Pentland, 1981, p. 26). According to historical accounts of the 19th century workplace, older workers were re-assigned to jobs that suited their abilities so they could work as long as possible (Macnicol, 1998; McDonald, 2002; Snell, 1996). In short, biological aging provided the impetus for the gradual withdrawal from work for most in a pre-industrialized Canada.

Pensions were unheard of for the majority of older people but did exist for some specific groups in the private sphere. In Canada in the eighteenth-century, the Hudson Bay Company did make some provision for "...the servants in case of sickness and old age" and allowed a pension in "any case that was deserving" (Simpson, 1975, p. 182-7). Older soldiers in far-flung outposts like those in the British garrison in Canada were paid pensions which served to attach them to Canada while the younger soldiers deserted to the United States. Historians Morton and McCallum note that, "Such men would endure the privations and discipline of military life in return for a secure old age" (Morton & McCallum, 1988, p. 6).

The Grand Trunk Railway established one of the first industrial pension plans in Canada in 1874.ⁱⁱ Pre-occupied with the job of imposing discipline and stability on armies of employees in a far-flung enterprise like a railway, the managers turned to military solutions such as uniforms, hierarchies, strict regulations and pensions with compulsory retirement at age 65 to reinforce the worker's commitment to the company (Morton & McCallum, 1988). Pensions at this time were a gift to insure that ageing male workers did not jeopardize their relations with their employer (e.g. going on strike), in return for a secure old age.ⁱⁱⁱ A Royal Commission struck in 1919 to deal with the worst strikes in Canadian history, was told by BESCO, a Cape Breton corporation, that a pension was a *management tool* to encourage the loyalty of employees to reduce labour turnover, and make it easier to rid the company of older workers (Morton & McCallum, 1988, p. 11). An Imperial Oil employee was more blunt, stating that corporate welfare (a pension), "is not philanthropy and it is not benevolence: it is a cold-blooded business proposition" (Morton & McCallum, 1988, p. 11). Although the railway executives stressed their concern for the welfare of the older worker, their motives were not especially benevolent (Haber,

1978, p. 81). At this time the seeds were sown for the relationship between old age and incapacitation, the idea of an age-related retirement and the idea of a reward for the ‘deserving old,’ - all ageist ideas borrowed from the military that operated to serve management in their attempt to control a difficult labour market via corporate policies (National Union, 2007).

In the 1880s, Bismarck, also relying on a military metaphor, added fuel to the fire when he called for state pensions for working class men in a rapidly industrializing Germany:

The State must take the matter into its own hands, not as alms giving but as the right that men have to be taken care of when, from no fault of their own, they have become unfit for work. Why should regular soldiers and officers have old age pensions, and not the soldier of labour?” (Quoted in W. Donahue, Orbach, & Pollak, 1960, p. 351).

Canadian response to Bismarck’s proposition was not one that flagged his ageist ideas about inevitably unfit soldiers of labour but about the dangers of social insurance that could wreak havoc in the labour force. Professor Mavor of the University of Toronto representing the voice of many, saw all pension schemes as a method to subsidize low wages and objected to social insurance on the grounds that malingering would make the system unworkable (Wallace, 1950).

Industrialization

With the acceleration of industrialization a number of factors converged that contributed to the growth of retirement that promoted a negative ageist view of older adults. “Scientific management” (Taylor, 1947) emphasized the division of the whole production process into small, quickly learned and routinized operations with quantity, quality and time standards for each task. At the time, this trend reduced the need for skilled workers, a significant characteristic of older workers. As a result, the older worker lost considerable prestige because the skills associated with his craft were no longer valued. At the same time, the wear-and-tear theory of aging gained ascendancy (Achenbaum, 1978), supporting the principles of scientific management. Although a number of business leaders and physicians had noted the uselessness of older people earlier, the renowned Dr. William Osler, (originally from Ontario), stated in 1905 that men above 40 years of age were comparatively useless and secondly, that men above 60 years of age were useless and there would be “...incalculable benefit ... in commercial, political and professional life, if as

a matter of course, men stopped work at this age” (The Globe, 1905, p. 1). For good measure he recommended “peaceful departure by chloroform” one year after retirement (The Globe, 1905, p. 1). This appeared in his farewell address at John Hopkins University on his way to the Medical School at Oxford.

The wear-and-tear views were further bolstered by the adoption of machine technology and the speed at which it operated. At the time, the unions were agitating for a nine-hour day and higher wages while management wanted a return on their investment in expensive machinery. A suitable compromise between the two was to increase the work rate, to the supposed detriment of ‘useless older workers’ that gave workers shorter hours and management more profit. Alongside the growing belief that older workers were useless was the added observation by economists that the numbers of older people were growing. In 1871, those aged 45 to 64 represented 11.1 percent of the total population, but by 1931 they made up 16.8 percent (Bryden, 1974, p. 30). An increasingly common view was that older workers and their lack of productivity were a national menace to overall economic productivity as stated frankly in the Canadian Senate (Parliament of Canada, 1926-27).

Because of the all too effective lobbying of social reformers, the majority of older persons came to be associated with poverty as a result of being forced out of the labour force (Snell, 1996). Comments at the time, such as that of the Trades and Labour Council of Nova Scotia, bemoaned that workers were assigned “to the ‘scrap pile’ at the first sign of age” (Quoted in Snell, 1996, p. 28). Many organized groups, like the Social Service Congress and the Trades and Labour Congress of Canada, demanded old age pensions for poor older people. The witnesses to the various parliamentary committees set up in 1911-12, 1912-12 and 1924 to investigate the feasibility of an old age pension system provided similar evidence as to the ravages of industrialism and the inability of poor families to provide for their aged members (House of Commons Debates, 1921, p. 3860). In 1927 the Old Age Pensions Act (OAP) was reluctantly passed at the urging of J.S. Woodsworth and A.A. Heaps, two Labour members of the House of Commons who had promised support for a minority government of the day. The Act was to supplement, not replace the income of workers and was set at subsistence levels to encourage thrift (McDonald & Wanner, 1990).

The pension restricted to those 70 years of age and over did not encourage the retirement of men or the few working women, given that it was a piece of “social assistance” legislation conceived as a classic form of poor relief for those already forced out of the labour force. The overly stringent administration of the Act and the miserly amounts awarded meant it could never provide income security in old age. The systematic stereotypes of older adults enshrined in the Act was that of a group of, useless, profligate older adults, jettisoned from the labour market who lived in abject poverty.

A significant postscript to these stereotypes is found in the invention of mandatory retirement. Management practices from the United States filtered into Canada and were rapidly adopted in the 1920s. In America, the innovation of the Ford Motor Company of rewarding seniority through annual bonuses and the introduction of the wage ladder became particularly attractive during labour strikes in the early 1900s. By creating a complex set of graduations among indistinguishable jobs within the factory, Ford provided semiskilled workers with an artificial hierarchy to climb, one that was tied to seniority rather than skill. With the creation of the internal labour market the seniority schemes necessitated a cut-off point. Older workers became inefficient because they received considerably more money than younger workers for doing the same work and, as a result, an important reason for mandatory retirement was invented (Haber & Gratton, 1994, p. 109; McDonald, 2011).

Mandatory retirement, which stipulates an age-mandated end to employment, has elicited considerable condemnation as an exceptional example of age discrimination that has been well documented in many quarters (Gillin & Klassen, 1995, 2005; Ibbott, Kerr, & Beaujot, 2006; Klassen & Gillin, 1999; Lowe, 1991). The attacks on mandatory retirement are all based on some form of negative bias against older adults. The civil rights side of the debate focuses on the comparison between the social benefits of a right to contract with the social benefits of a right to be free from age discrimination while others stir up the intergenerational debate that compares the interests of older and younger workers; while still others are concerned with evaluating the productivity of older workers compared to the relative efficient mechanism of shedding all older workers from the labour force through mandatory retirement.

What is noteworthy, is that historically mandatory retirement was not a policy at any

level of government in Canada but rather was developed in the negotiated collective agreements and formal personnel procedures of many Canadian businesses and industries (Ibbott et al., 2006). In short, it was developed as a tool to securely attach workers to the firm in times of strife by 'buying their loyalty' but also to get rid of them when they were older, usually at age 65, and too expensive to maintain. Business developed retirement through the provision of industrial pensions for reasons other than goodwill and then had to adjust the somewhat flawed policy via the introduction of mandatory retirement to prevent the runaway costs incurred by older workers. On one level, it is ingenious to reward someone simply because they aged one year but to be caught in an ageist, self-created trap and solve the problem by arbitrarily removing older workers from the labour force based on age, bespeaks compounded ageism at its best.

Haber and Gratton argue that the internal markets and seniority systems truncated by mandatory retirement were not instances of conscious ageism, but rather management solutions to labour problems (Haber & Gratton, 1994, p. 106-107). Mandatory retirement was indeed a management solution as has been argued above but it was also an *ageist* management solution, possibly unconscious, but harmful to many. During the Great Depression, with a quarter of the workforce unemployed and at least 15 percent of the nation on relief, many older workers found themselves in difficult circumstances. They faced growing mandatory retirement provisions in firms, a lack of jobs and no private pension coverage (McDonald, 2002). A study by the National Commission on Employment in 1937 found that fewer than eight percent of Canadian businesses actually had a pension plan, and a survey by Queen's University in 1938 estimated that 70 percent of Canadians had no old age income protection whatsoever (Morton & McCallum, 1988).

The Consolidation of Retirement in Canada

The negative ageism experienced by retirees took a significant turn in favour of positive ageism - not with the introduction of social security in 1951 - but well into the 1960s when the pension system was consolidated. Unlike other Western nations such as the USA, a universal government pension was not introduced into Canada until the economic expansion following World War II. Not surprisingly, there were a myriad of complex forces that influenced the formulation of the new pension act of 1951 but the reasons were mainly economic. The common threads that run through most explanations of universal retirement included: first and foremost,

the wish of Canadians to avoid the economic disasters of the Depression in its period of reconstruction following WWII; the rise of Keynesian economics that provided a blueprint to reach this goal via government responsibility for economic growth and social security and the human agency of labour, social activists and senior citizen groups who fought for pension changes stemming from the flaws of the 1927 pension plan. The Old Age Security Act, financed and administered by the federal government, paid a pension of \$40 per month to all Canadians at the age of 70, with no means test involved. The belief at the time was the economic growth following the war would generate enough financial resources to underwrite the costs of the pension (McDonald & Wanner, 1990).

‘Compassionate ageism’ (Binstock, 2010) did not appear in Canada in 1951 like in the USA after the establishment of Social Security in 1935. Even with the passage of the Social Security Act of 1951, the perceived association among uselessness, poverty and retirement lingered on from the beginnings of industrialization, as did mandatory retirement. The underlying ideology of the Act was still that the pension was a measure against destitution in old age as a result of retirement. If public opinion polls, popular media accounts and ‘expert advice’ are an indication of the times, in the public mind, retirement was well entrenched and decidedly ageist. The popular view at the time showed that retirement was considered a chronological guillotine that assured a drop in income, the onset of boredom and a threat to health, if not death (Financial Post, 1957, July 6; Labour Gazette, 1957; Macleans, 1961).

It wasn’t until the Old Age Security Act was restructured through the 1960s into the 1970s and the introduction of the wage-based Canada/Quebec Pension Plan in 1965 that retirement was secured as a significant social institution that was considered a ‘normal’ part of the life course.^{iv} These developments happened in a stable economy that could afford social security in the form of universal pensions and health care. The government took over primary responsibility for retirement income, the concept of retirement was separated from the concept of poverty, public pension benefits became ‘deferred wages’ to which people were entitled due to their contributions, and withdrawal from economic activity took place in advance of physical decline.

Within this environment in the mid 1960s benign ageism or the ‘compassionate ageism’

noted by Binstock (1983) flourished. The changes noted above represented an about-face in ideology from an emphasis on the individual responsibility of the older adult for his or her own retirement to an emphasis on the deserving older adult who should be collectively insured against the financial risks associated with a fixed-age retirement. The benign ageism embraced stereotypes of the older person as worthy of government support at age 65, but still frail and dependent, a double-edged sword. On the one hand, older workers benefited from the myriad of programs devoted to them, like provincial pension top-ups and the Spouses Allowance, not to mention the good-will generated in the Canadian public by the positive ageist stereotypes (McDonald, 1995). On the other hand, the economic dependency of older adults was substantially increased (Walker, 2000), according to what Townsend identified as 'structured dependency' the hallmark of the political economy approach. Townsend argues that during the final decades of the 20th century, older people were treated, according to the research, as more dependent than they really were or needed to be and that the emerging institution of retirement (among others) contributed to this dependency (2006, p. 161). As of 1984, the Canadian government provided 51.3 percent of individuals' income over age 65 (National Council of Welfare, 1984, p. 42) and the number was still close to 50 percent at the beginning of the 21st century. This dependency was as widespread in the USA (Binstock, 2010) and the UK (Walker, 2000). Part of this dependence includes the belief that the income needs of older retired people are lower than for those in the labour market, an age stereotype that justifies setting public pension rates below or at poverty levels in many countries including Canada (Butricia, Iams, Smith, & Toder, 2009; Walker, 2000). While Canada frequently advertises that the income-in-retirement-problem has been solved, it is somewhat astounding that the poverty level for unattached people over age 65 dropped from a massive 69.1 to a disappointing 57.7 percent between 1969 to 1982.

Conservatism and a 'New' Retirement

Early Retirement and Masked Ageism

It did not take long for a change in the format of retirement, again in accordance with the demands of the labour market and global economic pressures. With the oil crises in 1973 and 1979, and the two recessions in 1982 and 1990 that hit Canada and other developed nations,

many employers, both in the private and public sectors began to downsize their work forces. This reduction is frequently implemented through offering early retirement packages or incentives to older workers (among other approaches) (Foot & Gibson, 1993; McDonald, Donahue, & Marshall, 2000; Siroonian, 1993). In Canada in the late 1980s and early 1990s approximately 39 percent of men aged 55 to 64 and 12 percent of men over age 65 retired because of early retirement provisions. In the United States during the 1980s, 40 percent of American firms with more than 1000 employees reduced their work forces through early retirement incentives (Meier, 1986). In the UK, close to one-half of the early retirees left work as a result of an early retirement scheme introduced by an employer to cut back staff (Laczko, Dale, Arber, & Gilbert, 1988).

There are three ageist issues intrinsic to early retirement when it becomes the standard for retirement. First, the growth of early retirement redefined the length of old age by beginning at a lower age. The average retirement age in Canada of age 62, in 2009 occurs well before full pensions are activated at age 65 (McDonald & Donahue, 2011). Canadians spend, on average, 21.9 years in retirement compared to the French who are expected to spend 25.8 years and the Americans who spend 19.4 years in retirement, a fairly lengthy time compared to retirement when life expectancy was only 68 years in the 1950s (OECD, 2010). The lower age-entry into retirement has served, in turn, to reinforce the devaluation of older people in the labour market. The research on discrimination against workers indicates that when workers are labeled older, they become potential targets for prejudice and discrimination related to ageing (Duncan, 2001; McCann & Giles, 2002) so that discrimination starts at earlier ages. A recent study in Belgium shows that self-categorization as an “older worker” is related to negative attitudes towards work (stronger desire to retire early, stronger inclination towards intergenerational competition) while the perception that the organization does not use age as a criterion for distinguishing between workers, supports positive attitudes towards work (e.g. higher value placed on work) (Desmette & Gaillard, 2008). This ageism in the organization is all the more glaring because the workers are actually younger, healthier and more educated than the older workers were in earlier times when 70 was the accepted age for retirement.

Secondly, targeting older workers as a group for early retirement is certainly systematic ageism, possibly of the positive kind since many want to retire early - 47 percent of men aged 45

to 59 years in Canada in 2002 (Schellenberg, 2004). It is important to note, however, that such plans are offered primarily to workers who tend to be higher paid, better educated and hold professional and managerial positions (McDonald et al., 2000; Schellenberg, 1994) challenging the stereotype that all workers received these packages. Using early retirement to ‘manage’ older workers out of the labour force helped lay the foundation for the belief that all older workers were well-off since they could afford to retire early and the rates of early retirement were so high older adults had the potential to become a burden on the social security system in the future (OECD, 1988).

Thirdly, one of the newer forms of early retirement is actually involuntary retirement, which is often masked behind more socially justifiable reasons such as “intended” early retirement plans (Rowe & Nguyen, 2003; Schellenberg, Turcotte, & Ram, 2005; Tompa, 1999; Wang & Shultz, 2010). This implicit form of ageism has been well hidden although there is a growing consensus that some older workers have accepted early retirements under duress by colleagues, unions and society at large to ‘stand aside and make way for younger people, or because they feared for their longer-term security in their jobs and estimated that the benefits offered now were superior to those that might be available when facing redundancy later” (OECD, 1995). Using the General Social Survey in Canada in 2002, Schellenberg and colleagues (2005) found that 30 percent of early retirees reported they were *involuntarily* retired. In another study of unexpected early retirement using a national survey of workers not in the labour force, it was found that an early retirement package did not have a significant impact on retirement income. With the advent of early retirement, Canadians seemed to have created a ‘retirement gap’ where those forced to retire earlier than planned were out of “sync” with the social safety net because they were not age eligible (McDonald et al., 2000).

“Job Snatchers” and “Greedy Geezers”

The convergence of a number of factors - weak economies, the aging population and the rise of the political right – have been identified by many authors as the impetus behind the assault on the welfare state in western nations which began in the early 1990s in Canada (Midgley, 1997; Teeple, 1995; Workman, 1996). As the preceding brief history of retirement in Canada has shown, the manipulation of factors that influence the labour force behaviour of older

workers via pension and retirement policies has always been considered a legitimate means for managing the size and composition of the labour force and for thinning the ranks of corporations, even though here, the manipulation is viewed as systematic ageism. It seems that in the next evolution of retirement, its management was also a legitimate means for reducing the national deficit, the pressing economic crises of the 1990s.

There was a growing voice issuing from privileged Canadians that older people should contribute more to reducing the deficit (Globe and Mail, 1995, February 25). In a study that surveyed Canadians on its attitudes toward government, its priorities and its spending, it was found that better-off Canadians were far more likely than others to think that their senior citizens should do more to contribute to fighting the deficit (Ekos Research Associates, 1995). This perspective was fueled by claims from government and business that poverty amongst older people had all but been eradicated and, since retirees had enough money, Canadians could expect them to sacrifice in the name of the deficit. For example, the Canadian Institute of Actuaries using the most miserly poverty measure available, decided that "... poverty among the aged has been eliminated " (Canadian Institute of Actuaries, 1995, p. 17). This message was a politeness version of the "greedy geezer" argument (Binstock, 1994) promulgated in the United States which made it easier to politically market slashes to Old Age Security, the Guaranteed Income Supplement, or the Canada/Quebec Pension Plan.

The apocalyptic demography arguments (Robertson, 1991) also still held sway. There were too many older workers, taking away too many jobs from too many unemployed younger persons (the baby boomers). Older workers were clogging the higher echelons of company hierarchies and they were attenuating the career mobility of those who followed on their heels. These arguments represented a softer version of the "job snatcher" discourse found in France (Guillemard, 1983) and the notion that older workers must make way for younger workers. The demographic load (the ratio of pension beneficiaries to workers) is one of the apocalyptic scenarios that strongly pointed to changes in contributions to, and reductions in, the C/QPP and Old Age Security. Add the noticeable "graying" of the Canadian budget (over 20 billion dollars were transferred to older adults in pensions at this time), and it became almost routine to ask if Canadians had the right to impose such a large economic obligation on future generations - the milder version of intergenerational conflict discourse found in the United States. Superimpose on this scenario, the 1991 decision of the Supreme Court of Canada upholding mandatory

retirement in those provinces with no human rights legislation protecting older workers, and Canada had unashamedly sanctioned age discrimination (Chappell et al., 2008). Once again, pension policy was available for change to bolster the economy and uncomplimentary and revised stereotypes of older people as prosperous and selfish burdens on the system supported the attack on social security. As Robert Binstock argued in the 1990s, the long-standing compassionate stereotypes of older persons were undergoing a substantial reversal (1994, p. 727) and a new depiction of older people as “ruining the nation” was solidified in his latest assessment (Binstock, 2010, p. 576).

The reinstated negative stereotypes of older persons and their retirement justified the retrenchment of the welfare state that was subject to an ambitious effort to scale back Old Age Security benefits in the mid-1990s, an effort ultimately abandoned (Battle, 1997; Beland & Myles, 2008; Little, 2008; McDonald, 1997; Weaver, 2004). The only feasible possibility for change at the time was the Canada Pension Plan (Little, 2008), which underwent fairly significant adjustments such as increased contribution rates, de-indexation of the pay-roll tax exemption level, the reduction of disability benefits, and the creation of an investment board for a hybrid pay-as-you-go scheme (Beland & Myles, 2005; Hicks, Halliwell, Hebert, & Lenjosek, 2005).

The Latest Version of Retirement

“Canada’s aging work force hasn’t saved enough to retire. Pension benefits are being slashed, employees are working longer, the elderly are selling their homes and going back to work.” (Globe and Mail, 2009)

The observation from the *Globe and Mail* in 2009 following a world economic downturn is the latest retirement crisis identified by the economic sector, the public, and the media. The 2008 meltdown of financial markets resulted in the recent loss of over \$200 billion of household wealth in Canada (Abbott, Beach, Broadway, & MacKinnon, 2009). The trickle of companies retreating from their pension obligations accelerated with the global recession and led some companies into bankruptcies (e.g., Nortel, January 2009). In the process, the recession exposed the underfunding of many pension plans (e.g., Air Canada), with estimates suggesting a \$50 billion deficit in corporate pension funds (Globe and Mail, 2009). Although the absolute number of members in employment pension plans continued to grow from the addition of women

(Moussaly, 2010; The Daily, 2010), in relation to the size of the employed workforce, membership has been declining since the late 1970s and has fallen from 46.1 per cent of paid workers in 1977 to 38.3 per cent in 2007 (Baldwin, 2009; Mintz, 2009; The Daily, 2010). However, private pension plans grew from 23 per cent in 1992 to 32 per cent in 2006 as a proportion of the average retirement income of Canadians 65 and older (Gougeon, 2009), underscoring their growing contribution to retirement income.

Although the trend to later retirement had started prior to the economic downturn, the recession added some urgency to ensuring the new retirement was a later retirement, aided and abetted by the negative stereotypes noted above. The financial industry did add a few more stereotypes to their armamentarium in trying to stabilize the economy, the first being that it was the fault of the older person that they had not saved for their retirement (mainly because of financial illiteracy), the second, that the older person must assume more individual risk for their own retirement income because they were profligate and last, the unchecked and selfish stampede to early retirement (methodically used in labour relations) had to be reversed.

In the first instance, it is somewhat surprising to lay many of the problems of the “Great Recession” (Rix, 2011) at the feet of older adults who experienced widespread financial losses in their life savings, investments and private pension plans that were totally destroyed through no fault of their own (Abbott et al., 2009). For certain, those nearer retirement are more likely than the already retired to be negatively affected because they are still in a labour market distinguished by a “jobless recovery”, and they have little time for an economic resurgence in order to regain lost ground in their private pensions and investments (OECD, 2009; Sass, Monk, & Haverstick, 2010). The “blame the victim” message that chastises Canadians for not saving enough for retirement, despite the global financial downturn (Baldwin, 2009; The Federal Task Force on Financial Literacy, 2010) is ageist to the core because the message 130 years later is older people are not incapacitated, they are simply incompetent. The stereotype of incompetence is buttressed by the image depicted in the 2009 Federal Task Force on Financial Literacy (2010) that proclaimed that, “many Canadians lack some or all of the skills, knowledge and confidence necessary to be financially literate” (2010, p. 4). This stereotype of the incompetent, illiterate older worker will lead potential retirees to the financial industry for paid advice. Financial institutions spend more than US\$1.5 billion a year marketing their services to consumers in the

United States and in Canada, through shared media. That amounts to nearly US\$40 million a week of advertising dollars trying to catch the consumer's attention. Under these circumstances, confusion about retirement planning would not be uncommon, nor would the potential for "bad" retirement plans be small in a self-serving industry.

In the second instance, the form of private pension coverage began to shift from defined-benefit to defined-contribution plans, a transition witnessed in a number of countries (Baldwin & FitzGerald, 2010). Although membership in defined-benefit plans still accounts for a majority of plan members, the trend to a declining portion of members in a defined-benefit plan is occurring in both the public and private sectors (Baldwin, 2009). With the prevailing situation in Canada having begun in 2008, the financial circumstances of current and future retirees will become riskier, depending on the type of plan and investment as with defined-contribution plans (Beck, 1992; Giddens, 1990). It could be added that with the increase in defined-contribution plans, the responsibility for investment is now passed on to the individual and to his or her financial analyst or banker, if they can afford such a planner. There also is a serious conflict of interest in using financial planners because the financial industry may not be ready to provide the kind of information that people need, as opposed to the kind that sells products at high prices (Ambachtsheer, 2008). With the universal pension long gone and the increasing attempt to replace social insurance with individualized market solutions, it seems that Canada is on the way back to earlier times, only with different negative images of older adults.

Third, as we have noted here, the situation is now changing in favour of later retirement. The changes in retirement policy per se have been few. For example, all provincial governments have recently eliminated contractual mandatory retirement at age 65 with the exception of federal employees, but even here the government plans to prohibit this practice. Pension plan changes are more in evidence. For example, recent changes have been made that support part-time work for some workers, since they are allowed to continue to accrue pension benefits if they work later; the age for contributing to RRSPs was raised to age 71 from age 69, and a tax credit was made available to encourage paid work for low-income earners aged 65 and older by reducing the disincentives to paid employment found in the Old Age Security/ Guaranteed Income Supplement programs.

In keeping with the plan to increase the age of retirement, several modifications to the Canada Pension Plan Act, recommended by the federal, provincial, and territorial governments, became law and will apply to those retiring in 2012. Those between the ages of 60 and 65 who plan to apply for a C/QPP pension early will have their benefits reduced. Workers age 65 and older can voluntarily elect to continue C/QPP participation, in which case regular employer contributions will also be required. In other words, early retirement will be penalized and later retirement rewarded. This back-door method to increase the age of retirement through pension policy has been suggested many times in the past to reduce the cost of pensions but has never really been successful (Brown, 1995; Hicks, 2003; Lam, Cutt, & Prince, 1997). The justifications are many: (a) gains in life expectancy requires resetting the retirement age (Denton & Spencer, 1996, 2010; Hering & Klassen, 2010); (b) a reduction in morbidity and mortality rules out a sickly labour force (Fries, 1989); (c) retirement goes on too long (Hicks, 2003); (d) generational equity demands later retirement, and (e) a later retirement age would be much less expensive (Hering & Klassen, 2010). France, the United States, Germany, the United Kingdom, and Australia have already passed legislation that will, over time, raise the age of retirement. But the Canadian public has not had this discussion (Myles, 2006) even though pension policy will shortly begin to encourage a later age of retirement. In Canada this is an example of social policy change by stealth where older people have not been consulted but rather have been pawns in the transformation of employment relations, supported by government interested in cost savings (McDonald & Donahue, 2011).

Conclusions

The brief history of retirement has been provided to illustrate how retirement has been systematically ageist since its inception. Retirement has been used as a management tool by business and industry to manage the labour force of older adults over time, depending on whether it is financially fortuitous to business to remove or attach workers to their employment. Shifting ideologies about older adults that extend from negative to positive ageism have been used by business, government, the public and the media to support whatever justification is required at the time of retirement, with little thought about the harm perpetrated on older adults. The justifications span a wide array of images of older people from being dependent, vulnerable and frail through to job snatchers and greedy geezers that society has subscribed to en masse,

including older adults themselves.

The argument that systematic ageism exemplified in retirement is a legitimate process as the result of the ‘natural’ functioning of competitive labour markets – deregulated “free markets” - is the greatest ageist idea of all. Free market economics is not self-regulating and is subject to government intervention as seen recently with the economic meltdown of 2008. Retirement is not a natural outcome of the functioning of the economy but is a handy social construction developed by business and industry. Neither is economics totally “scientific”, no matter how strongly embraced by economists. The ‘scientific’ idea was only introduced in the last 40 years and it is easy to find many economic models that fail miserably at prediction and explanation (Cassidy, 2009). Lastly, utopian economics and its offshoots are most decidedly not value free. The fuel behind free markets is human selfishness according to Adam Smith himself, wherein economic order will emerge as the unintended consequence of the actions of many people, each seeking their own self- interest (Cassidy, 2009). The intervention of government in the economy found in any number of current political, economic and social media discourses is seen as simply unwise, if not morally wrong – a political philosophy laden with values (Cassidy, 2009; The Economist, 2010). An unstated value in the free market today is that older people are not esteemed or respected and as a result can be maneuvered at will to serve the forces of the market through retirement and pension policies.

References

- Abbott, M. G., Beach, C. M., Broadway, R. W., & MacKinnon, J. G. (Eds.). (2009). *Retirement policy issues in Canada*. Montreal, QC: McGill-Queen's University Press.
- Achenbaum, W. A. (1978). *Old age in a new land*. Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University Press.
- Ambachtsheer, K. (2008). The Canada Supplementary Pension Plan (CSPP): Towards an adequate, affordable pension for all Canadians. Toronto, ON: C. D. Howe Institute.
- Atchley, R. C. (1976). *The sociology of retirement*. New York: Wiley and Sons.
- Atchley, R. C. (1980). "What happened to retirement planning in the 1970s?" *Aging and retirement*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Atchley, R. C. (1982). Retirement as a social institution. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 8, 263-287.
- Atchley, R. C. (1993). Critical perspectives on retirement. In T. R. Cole, W. A. Achenbaum, P. L. Jakobi & R. Kastenbaum (Eds.), *Voices and visions of aging: Toward a critical gerontology* (pp. 3-19). New York: Springer.
- Baldwin, B. (2009). Research study on the Canadian retirement income system. Toronto, Ontario: Ministry of Finance, Government of Ontario.
- Baldwin, B., & FitzGerald, B. (2010). Seeking certainty in uncertain times: A review of recent government-sponsored studies on the regulation of Canadian pension plans. Toronto, ON: C. D. Howe Institute.
- Battle, K. (1997). Pension reform in Canada. *Canadian Journal on Aging*, 16(2), 519-552.
- Beck, U. (1992). *Risk society: Towards a new modernity*. London: Sage.
- Beland, D., & Myles, J. (2005). Stasis amidst change: Canadian pension reform in an age of retrenchment. In G. Bonoli & T. Shinkawa (Eds.), *Ageing and pension reform around the world* (pp. 252-272). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Beland, D., & Myles, J. (2008). Policy change in the Canadian welfare state: Comparing the Canada Pension Plan and Unemployment Insurance. Hamilton, ON: SEDAP.
- Binstock, R. H. (1983). The Donald P. Kent memorial lecture. The aged as scapegoat. *Gerontologist*, 23(2), 136-143.
- Binstock, R. H. (1994). Changing criteria in old-age programs: The introduction of economic status and need for services. [Review]. *Gerontologist*, 34(6), 726-730.
- Binstock, R. H. (2010). From compassionate ageism to intergenerational conflict? *Gerontologist*, 50(5), 574-585. doi: 10.1093/geront/gnq056
- Brown, R. L. (1995). Achieving stability and equality and paygo financing. *Policy Options*, 16(6), 17-21.
- Bryden, K. (1974). *Old age pensions and policy-making in Canada*. Montreal, QC: McGill-Queen's University Press.
- Butricia, A., Iams, H. M., Smith, K. E., & Toder, E. J. (2009). The disappearing defined benefit pension and its potential impact on the retirement incomes of boomers. *Social Security Bulletin*, 69(3), 1-27.
- Bytheway, B. (1995). *Ageism*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Canadian Institute of Actuaries. (1995). *Troubled tomorrows: The report of the Canadian Institute of Actuaries' Task Force on Retirement Savings*. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Institute of Actuaries.

- Cassidy, J. (2009). *How markets fail: The economics of rational irrationality*. New York, NY: Farrar Straus Giroux.
- Chappell, N. L., McDonald, L., & Stones, M. (2008). *Aging in contemporary Canada* (2nd ed.). Toronto, ON: Pearson.
- Cumming, E. (1963). Further thoughts on the theory of disengagement. *International Social Science Bulletin*, 15, 377-393.
- Denton, F. T., & Spencer, B. G. (1996). Population aging and the maintenance of social support systems. Hamilton, ON: Program for Research on the Independence and Economic Security of the Older Population, McMaster University.
- Denton, F. T., & Spencer, B. G. (2010). Chronic health conditions: Changing prevalence in an aging population and some implications for the delivery of health care services. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Canadian Journal on Aging*, 29(1), 11-21. doi: 10.1017/S0714980809990390
- Desmette, D., & Gaillard, M. (2008). When a "worker" becomes an "older worker": The effects of age-related social identity on attitudes towards retirement and work. *Career Development International*, 13, 168-185.
- Donahue, W., Orbach, H., & Pollak, O. (1960). Retirement: The emerging social pattern. In C. Tibbitts (Ed.), *Handbook of social gerontology* (pp. 330-406). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Donahue, W. T., & Tibbitts, C. (1957). *The new frontiers of aging*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Duncan, C. (2001). Ageism, early exit and the rationality of age-based discrimination *Ageism in work and employment* (pp. 25-46). Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Ekos Research Associates. (1995). *Rethinking government*. Ottawa, ON: Ekos Research Associates Inc.
- Estes, C., Swan, J. H., & Gerard, L. E. (1984). Dominant and competing paradigms in gerontology: Towards a political economy of ageing. *Ageing and Society*, 2, 151-164.
- European Commission. (2007). *Employment in Europe 2007*. Brussels: Employment Analysis Unit, European Commission.
- Financial Post. (1957, July 6). Retirement can kill you if leisure comes too fast.
- Finnie, R., & Gray, D. (2011). Labour-force participation of older displaced workers in Canada: Should I stay or should I go? Montreal, QC: Institute for Research on Public Policy (IRPP).
- Fischer, D. H. (1978). *Growing old in America*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Foot, D. K., & Gibson, K. J. (1993). Population aging in the Canadian labour force: Changes and challenges. *Journal of Canadian Studies*, 28(1), 59-74.
- Friedman, L. M. (2003). Age discrimination law: Some remarks on the American experience. In S. Fredman & S. Spencer (Eds.), *Age as an equality issue: Legal and policy perspectives* (pp. 175-194). Oxford: Hart Publishing.
- Fries, J. F. (1989). The compression of morbidity: Near or far? [Clinical Trial Randomized Controlled Trial Research Support, U.S. Gov't, P.H.S. Review]. *Milbank Quarterly*, 67(2), 208-232.
- Giddens, A. (1990). *The consequences of modernity*. Oxford: Polity Press.

- Gillin, C. T., & Klassen, T. R. (1995). Age discrimination and early retirement policies: a comparison of labor market regulation in Canada and the United States. [Comparative Study Review]. *J Aging Soc Policy*, 7(1), 85-102. doi: 10.1300/J031v07n01_06
- Gillin, C. T., & Klassen, T. R. (2005). The shifting judicial foundation of legalized age discrimination. In C. T. Gillin, D. MacGregor & T. R. Klassen (Eds.), *Time's up: Mandatory retirement in Canada* (pp. 45-73). Toronto, ON: James Lorimer & Company Ltd.
- Globe and Mail. (1995, February 25). Canadians want strong active government, p. A6.
- Globe and Mail. (2009). Retirement dreams under siege. Retrieved from <http://www.theglobeandmail.com/report-on-business/retirement/>
- Gougeon, P. (2009). Shifting pensions. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada.
- Graebner, W. (1980). *A history of retirement*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Grattan, P. (2002). Age discrimination in employment. In Help the Aged (Ed.), *Age discrimination in public policy: A review of evidence* (pp. 33-35). London: Help the Aged.
- Guillemard, A. M. (Ed.). (1983). *Old age and the welfare state*. London: Sage.
- Haber, C. (1978). Mandatory retirement in nineteenth-century America: The conceptual basis for a new work cycle. *Journal of Social History*, 12(1), 77-96.
- Haber, C., & Gratton, B. (1994). *Old age and the search for security: An American social history*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
- Halliwell, C. (2009). Retirement in Canada: Some trends...Some issues. In M. G. Abbott, C. M. Beach, R. W. Broadway & J. G. MacKinnon (Eds.), *Retirement policy issues in Canada* (pp. 49-64). Montreal, QC: McGill-Queen's University Press.
- Hardy, M. A., Hazelrigg, L. E., & Quadagno, J. S. (1996). *Ending a career in the auto industry: 30 and out*. New York: Plenum Press.
- Hering, M., & Klassen, T. R. (2010). Why we need to raise the retirement age. Toronto, ON: The Mowat Centre for Policy Innovation.
- Hicks, P. (2003). New policy research on population aging and life-course flexibility. *Horizons*, 6, 3-6.
- Hicks, P., Halliwell, C., Hebert, B. P., & Lenjosek, G. (2005). Some perspectives on changing the pension system. *Canadian Public Policy*, 34(1), 15-20.
- House of Commons Debates. (1921). House of Commons debates of the Dominion of Canada fifth session: Thirteenth parliament. Ottawa, ON: F. A. Ackland King's Printer.
- Ibbott, P., Kerr, D., & Beaujot, R. (2006). Probing the future of mandatory retirement in Canada. [Review]. *Can J Aging*, 25(2), 161-178.
- International Longevity Center. (2006). *Ageism in America*. New York, NY: The Anti-Ageism Taskforce, International Longevity Center.
- Klassen, T. R., & Gillin, C. T. (1999). The heavy hand of the law: The Canadian Supreme Court and mandatory retirement. *Canadian Journal on Aging*, 18(2), 259-276.
- Kohli, M., & Rein, M. (1991). The changing balance of work and retirement. In M. Kohli, M. Rein, A. M. Guillemard & H. van Gunsteren (Eds.), *Time for retirement: Comparative studies of early exit from the labour force* (pp. 1-35). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Labour Gazette. (1957). Compulsory retirement said cruel, wasteful, pp. 57, 933.

- Laczko, F., Dale, A., Arber, S., & Gilbert, G. N. (1988). Early retirement in a period of high unemployment. *Journal of Social Policy*, 17(3), 313-333.
- Lam, N., Cutt, J., & Prince, M. J. (1997). The Canada Pension Plan: Retrospect and prospect. In K. Banting & R. Boadway (Eds.), *Reform of the retirement income system: International and Canadian perspectives* (pp. 105-134). Kingston, ON: Queen's University, School of Policy Studies.
- Levy, B. R. (2001). Eradication of ageism requires addressing the enemy within. [Comment]. *Gerontologist*, 41(5), 578-579; discussion 580.
- Little, B. (2008). *Fixing the future: How Canada's usually fractious governments worked together to rescue the Canada Pension Plan*. Toronto, ON: University of Toronto Press.
- Longino, C. F., Jr. (2005). The future of ageism: Baby boomers at the doorstep. *Generations*, 29(3), 79-83.
- Lowe, G. S. (1991). Retirement attitudes, plans and behaviour. *Perspectives*, 3(3), 8-17.
- Macleans. (1961). A case for retiring but at 50, a case: For retiring not at 50, a case: For retiring (not quitting) at 50.
- Macnicol, J. (1998). *The politics of retirement in Britain, 1878-1948*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Macnicol, J. (2006). *Age discrimination: An historical and contemporary analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University.
- Macnicol, J. (2008). Older men and work in the twenty-first century: What can the history of retirement tell us? *Journal of Social Policy*, 37(4), 579-595.
- Macnicol, J. (2010). Ageism and age discrimination: Some analytical issues. London: International Longevity Centre.
- Marshall, V. W., & Marshall, J. G. (2003). Ageing and work in Canada: Firm policies. *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance*, 28(4), 625-639.
- McCann, R. M., & Giles, H. (2002). Ageism and the workplace: A communication perspective. In T. D. Nelson (Ed.), *Ageism* (pp. 163-199). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- McDonald, L. (1995). Retirement for the rich and retirement for the poor: From social security to social welfare. Editorial. *Canadian Journal on Aging*, 14(3), 447.
- McDonald, L. (1997). The link between social research and social policy options: Reverse retirement as a case in point. *Canadian Journal on Aging/Public Policy*, 23(S1), 90-113.
- McDonald, L. (2002). The invisible retirement of women. Hamilton, ON: SEDAP Research Program.
- McDonald, L. (2006). Gendered retirement: The welfare of women and the "new" retirement. In L. Stone (Ed.), *New frontiers of research on retirement* (pp. 137-164). Ottawa: Statistics Canada.
- McDonald, L. (2011). Elder abuse and neglect in Canada: The glass is still half full. *Canadian Journal on Aging*, 30(3), 1-30.
- McDonald, L., & Donahue, P. (2011). Retirement lost? *Canadian Journal on Aging*, 30(3), 401-422. doi: 10.1017/S0714980811000298
- McDonald, L., Donahue, P., & Marshall, V. (2000). The economic consequences of unexpected early retirement. In F. T. Denton, D. Fretz & B. G. Spencer (Eds.), *Independence and economic security in old age* (pp. 267-292). Vancouver, BC: University of British Columbia Press.
- McDonald, L., & Wanner, R. A. (1990). *Retirement in Canada*. Toronto, ON: Butterworths.

- McVittie, C., McKinlay, A., & Widdicombe, S. (2003). Committed to (un)equal opportunities?: 'New ageism' and the older worker. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 42(Pt 4), 595-612. doi: 10.1348/014466603322595293
- Meier, E. L. (1986). *Employment experience and income of older women*. Washington, DC: American Association of Retired Persons.
- Midgley, J. (1997). *Social welfare in global context*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Mintz, J. M. (2009). Summary report on retirement income adequacy research. Ottawa, ON: Department of Finance Canada.
- Morton, D., & McCallum, M. E. (1988). *Superannuation to indexation: Employment pension plans*. Toronto, ON: Queen's Printer for Ontario.
- Moussaly, K. (2010). *Participation in private retirement savings plans, 1997 to 2008*. Ottawa, ON: Authority of the Minister, Statistics Canada.
- Myles, J. (2006). From pension policy to retirement policy: Towards a new social agenda? In L. Stone (Ed.), *New Frontiers of research on retirement* (pp. 65-82). Ottawa: Statistics Canada.
- National Council of Welfare. (1984). *Sixty five and older*. Ottawa, ON: Minister of Supply and Services Canada.
- National Union. (2007). *Pensions backgrounder #2: A brief history of pensions in Canada*. Nepean, ON: National Union of Public and General Employees.
- OECD. (1988). *Aging populations: The social policy implications*. Paris, France: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).
- OECD. (1995). *The transition from work to retirement*. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).
- OECD. (2009). *OECD private pensions outlook 2008*. Paris, France: Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD).
- OECD. (2010). *Pensions in France and abroad: Seven key indicators*. Paris, France: Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD).
- Pakans, A. (1989). Stepping down in former times: A comparative assessment of retirement in traditional Europe. In D. I. Kertzer & K. W. Schaie (Eds.), *Age structuring in comparative perspective* (pp. 175-195). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Palmore, E. (1990). *Ageism: Negative and positive*. New York: Springer.
- Palmore, E. (2005). Three decades of research on ageism. *Generations*, 29(3), 87-90.
- Parliament of Canada. (1926-27). *Debates of the Senate of Canada* (pp. 95-100). Ottawa, ON: Parliament of Canada.
- Parsons, T. (1942). Age and sex in the social structure of the United States. *American Sociological Review*, 7, 604-616.
- Peel, N. M., McClure, R. J., & Bartlett, H. P. (2005). Behavioral determinants of healthy aging. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Review]. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 28(3), 298-304. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2004.12.002
- Pentland, H. C. (1981). *Labour and capital in Canada, 1650-1860*. Toronto, ON: James Loremont.
- Phillipson, C. (1982). *Capitalism and the construction of old age*. London: MacMillan.
- Phillipson, C. (1999). The social construction of retirement: Perspectives from critical theory and political economy. In M. Minkler & C. L. Estes (Eds.), *Critical gerontology: Perspectives from political and moral economy* (pp. 315-318). New York: Baywood.

- Phillipson, C. (2004). Work and retirement transitions: Changing sociological and social policy contexts. *Social Policy & Society*, 3(2), 155-162.
- Phillipson, C., & Smith, A. (2005). Extending working life: A review of the research literature. Norwich, UK: Department for Work and Pensions.
- Pignal, J., Arrowsmith, S., & Ness, A. (2010). First results from the survey of older workers, 2008. Ottawa, ON: Special Surveys Division, Statistics Canada.
- Platman, K., & Taylor, P. (2004). Age, employment and policy. *Social Policy & Society*, 3(2), 143-144.
- Quinn, J. F., Burkhauser, R. V., & Myers, D. L. (1990). *Passing the torch: The influence of economic incentives on work and retirement*. Kalamazoo, MI: W. E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research.
- Rix, S. E. (2011). Recovering from the Great Depression: Long struggle ahead for older Americans. Washington, DC: AARP Public Policy Institute.
- Robertson, A. (1991). The politics of Alzheimer's disease: A case study in apocalyptic demography. In M. Minkler & C. Estes (Eds.), *Critical perspectives on aging: The political economy and moral economy of growing old* (pp. 135-150). Amityville, NY: Baywood.
- Rowe, G., & Nguyen, H. (2003). Older workers and the labour market. *Perspective on Labour and Income*, 15(1), 55-58.
- Rozanova, J., Northcott, H., & McDaniel, S. (2006). Seniors and portrayals of intra-generational and inter-generational inequality in The Globe and Mail. *Canadian Journal on Aging*, 25(4), 373-386.
- Sass, S. A., Monk, C., & Haverstick, K. (2010). Workers' response to the market crash: Save more, work more? Chestnut Hill, MA: Center for Retirement Research at Boston College.
- Schellenberg, G. (1994). The road to retirement: Demographic and economic changes in the 90's. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Council on Social Development.
- Schellenberg, G. (2004). 2003 General Social Survey on Social Engagement, cycle 17: An overview of findings. Ottawa: Statistics Canada.
- Schellenberg, G., Turcotte, M., & Ram, B. (2005). Preparing for retirement. *Canadian Social Trends*, 78, 8-11.
- Simpson, I. H. (1975). Review symposium on work in America. *Sociology of Work and Occupations*, 2, 182-187.
- Siroonian, J. (1993). Work arrangements. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada.
- Snell, J. G. (1996). *The citizen's wage: The state and the elderly in Canada, 1900-1951*. Toronto, ON: University of Toronto Press.
- Taylor, F. (1947). *Scientific management*. New York, NY: Harper.
- Teeple, G. (1995). *Globalization and the decline of social reform*. Toronto, ON: Garamond Press.
- The Daily. (2010). Pension plans in Canada. Retrieved from <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/daily-quotidien/100525/dq100525c-eng.htm>
- The Economist. (2010). 49th parallels: Stephen Harper has imported American Republicanism just when Barack Obama has adopted some Canadian policies. Is there still a North American divide? Retrieved from <http://www.economist.com/node/16377327>

- The Federal Task Force on Financial Literacy. (2010). What we heard: A summary of public consultations (M. o. Finance, Trans.). Ottawa, ON: Minister of Finance.
- The Globe. (1905, February 24). Man's end is at forty.
- Tompa, E. (1999). Transition to retirement: Determinants of age of social security take up. Hamilton, ON: SEDAP, McMaster University.
- Townsend, P. (1981). The structured dependency of the elderly: Creation of a social policy in the 20th century. *Ageing and Society*, 1, 5-28.
- Townsend, P. (2006). Policies for the aged in the 21st century: More "structured dependency" or the realisation of the human rights? *Ageing and Society*, 26(2), 161-179.
- Walker, A. (1990). The benefits of old age? Age discrimination and social security. In E. McEwen (Ed.), *Age: The unrecognised discrimination*. London: Age Concern.
- Walker, A. (2000). Public policy and the construction of old age in Europe. *Gerontologist*, 40(3), 304-308.
- Walker, A. (2006). Active ageing in employment: Its meaning and potential. *Asia-Pacific Review*, 13(1), 78-93.
- Wallace, E. (1950). The origin of the Social Welfare State in Canada, 1867-1900. *Canadian Journal of Economics and Political Science*, 16(3), 383-393.
- Wang, M., & Shultz, K. S. (2010). Employee retirement: A review and recommendations for future investigation. *Journal of Management*, 36, 172-206.
- Weaver, R. K. (2004). Pension reform in Canada: Lessons for the United States. *Ohio State Law Journal*, 65(1), 44-74.
- Workman, T. (1996). *Banking on deception: The discourse of fiscal crisis*. Halifax: Fernwood Publishing.

Endnotes

ⁱ In reviewing men and women's behavior patterns from pre-industrial times until the 1960s, women were invisible in the development of retirement because its evolution into a social institution occurred within the context of the labour market where women were least likely to be found (see McDonald, 2002; 2006 for a history of women's retirement in Canada).

ⁱⁱ The Superannuation Act of 1870 provided an early occupational plan for federal government employees. The purpose of the plan was to "... get rid of persons who had arrived at a time of life when they could no longer perform their work efficiently" (Morton & McCallum, 1988, p. 6).

ⁱⁱⁱ In 1910 in the course of a bitter strike, the Grand Trunk Railway wiped out the pension rights of the workers who had struck the company, only to return them in 1923, when the Grand Trunk became part of the government-owned Canadian National Railways.

^{iv} The pension system of Canada has three pillars: the first pillar consists of public plans (Old Age Security, the Guaranteed Income Supplement, and the Canada/Quebec Pension Plans for paid workers); the second, employer-sponsored plans (RPPs, deferred profit-sharing plans and group registered retirement savings plans [group RRSPs]); and the third, personal savings – including registered retirement savings plans (RRSPs) (Baldwin, 2009; Gougeon, 2009).

SEDAP RESEARCH PAPERS: Recent Releases

Number	Title	Author(s)
(2010)		
No. 263:	Strengthening Fairness and Funding in the Canada Pension Plan: Is Raising the Retirement Age an Option?	M. Hering T.R. Klassen
No. 264:	Understanding the Outcomes of Older Job Losers	M. Brzozowski T.F. Crossley
No. 265:	Issues in the Issuance of Enhanced Annuities	R.L. Brown P. Scahill
No. 266:	Criteria for the Optimal Design of a Social Security Retirement System	R.L. Brown
No. 267:	Retirement 20/20: Innovation in Pension Design	R.L. Brown
No. 268:	Economic Security in an Aging Canadian Population	R.L. Brown
No. 269:	Body size and wages in Europe: A semi-parametric analysis	V.A. Hildebrand P. Van Kerm
No. 270:	Employer Pension Plan Inequality in Canada	M. Denton J. Plenderleith
No. 271:	Retirement Decisions of People with Disabilities: Voluntary or Involuntary	M. Denton J. Plenderleith J. Chowhan
No. 272:	Where Would You Turn for Help? Older Adults' Awareness of Community Support Services	M. Denton J. Ploeg J. Tindale B. Hutchison K. Brazil N. Akhtar-Danesh M. Quinlan J. Lillie J. Millen Plenderleith L. Boos
No. 273:	Older Adults' Awareness of Community Health and Support Services for Dementia Care	J. Ploeg M. Denton J. Tindale B. Hutchison K. Brazil N. Akhtar-Danesh J. Lillie J. Millen Plenderleith

SEDAP RESEARCH PAPERS: Recent Releases

Number	Title	Author(s)
No. 274:	Examining the Gender, Ethnicity, and Age Dimensions of the Healthy Immigrant Effect: Implications for Health Care Policy	K.M. Kobayashi S.G. Prus
No. 275:	Involuntary Retirement and the Resolution of the Retirement-Consumption Puzzle: Evidence from Australia	G.F. Barrett M. Brzozowski
No. 276:	Age of Pension Eligibility, Gains in Life Expectancy, and Social Policy	F.T. Denton B.G. Spencer
No. 277:	The Private Cost of Long-Term Care in Canada: Where You Live Matters	N. Fernandes B.G. Spencer
No. 278:	Is There an Age Pattern in the Treatment of AMI? Evidence from Ontario	M. Grignon B.G. Spencer L. Wang
No. 279:	Aging and Health: An Examination of Differences between Older Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal People	K. Wilson M.W. Rosenberg S. Abonyi R. Lovelace
No. 280:	Comparing Racial and Immigrant Health Status and Health Care Access in Later Life in Canada and the United States	S.G. Prus R. Tfaily Z. Lin
No. 281:	“It Looks at All of You”: Elders’ Understanding and Utilization of Traditional Medicines in a Canadian First Nation Community	R. Matthews T.J. Ibrahim A. Martin-Matthews
No. 282:	The determinants of the migration decisions of immigrant and non-immigrant physicians in Canada	J.T. McDonald C. Worswick
(2011)		
No. 283:	The Age Pattern of Retirement: A Comparison of Cohort Measures	F.T. Denton R. Finnie B.G. Spencer
No. 284:	The surprisingly large policy implications of changing retirement durations	P. Hicks
No. 285:	Economic Security in an Aging Canadian Population	R.L. Brown
No. 286:	The Canadian Elder Standard – Pricing the Cost of Basic Needs for the Canadian Elderly	B.-J. MacDonald D. Andrews R.L. Brown

SEDAP RESEARCH PAPERS: Recent Releases

Number	Title	Author(s)
No. 287:	The Recent Evolution of Retirement Patterns in Canada	P. Lefebvre P. Merrigan P.-C. Michaud
No. 288:	Modelling the Age Dynamics of Chronic Health Conditions: Life-Table-Consistent Transition Probabilities and their Application	F.T. Denton B.G. Spencer
No. 289:	Revue de la littérature sur l'évolution future de l'espérance de vie et de l'espérance de vie en santé	R. Bourbeau J. Légaré N. Ouellette
(2012)		
No. 290:	Thoughts from a user of results of Statistics Canada's LifePaths Microsimulation Model	J. Légaré
No. 291:	Retirement Lost?	L. McDonald P. Donahue
No. 292:	The Evolution of Retirement as Systematic Ageism	L. McDonald